

'DHARMA IN THE SCRIPTURES OF HINDU RELIGION AND BUDDHISM

Ven. Wangiyakubure Sumedha

Puranawiharaya Athurugiriya Road, Homagama
Email: Sumedh@gmail.com

Received : 12-02-2024

Revised : 06-04-2024

Accepted : 31-05-2024

Abstract :

Dharma is a treasure house of different philosophical & religious sects. Following different faiths, the Indians have been living together with Dharma for around three thousand years. Though there were philosophical dissensions & religious conflicts, these seldom escalated into a large scale pogroms or massacres of innocent lives. The paper is a modest endeavour to highlight those foundations, which have always established Dharma among various philosophical and religious sects.

Key Words : Dharma, Hindu Religion and Buddhism

INTRODUCTION:

It is often told that there should be religious tolerance in the world. The word 'tolerance' becomes a misnomer, when it is used in the context of Dharma. Tolerance implies a certain degree of condescension and refraining from doing something worse. Tolerance brings harmony, but only for a short span of time. In fact, it may jeopardize Dharma in the long run. Dharma advocates acceptance rather than tolerance for attaining lasting Dharma in the society. This paper highlights the instances of acceptance and synthesis among various philosophical and religious sects in India, which brought enduring Dharma.

The synthesis and acceptance is seen in the Vedic culture. The historians say that the rituals in the Atharvaveda show that they were in vogue among the Dravidians. But, the Āryans adopted them in their culture and included the Atharvaveda among the four-fold Vedas. It is also claimed that the depiction of Śiva reveals that he was not among the deities of Āryans initially. But, he became the integral part of Āryan religion at a later stage. Starting from the Vedas, we find that the R̥gvedic religion, which begins with pluralism, finally culminates into monism. In the first maṇḍala itself, the Vedic seer declares that the ultimate reality is one but the sages call it by various names. In the tenth maṇḍala also, the cosmic person is called as the cause of the universe in Puruṣasūktaii. In the Upaniṣads, the journey from pluralism to monism becomes absolutely explicit. In the Māṇḍūkyaopaniṣad, the entire world and inner self is proclaimed as Brahman, the Ultimate realityiii. Muṇḍakopaniṣad says at one place that the one; who attains the Supreme knowledge; becomes unified with the Supreme reality by abandoning one's name and form just as the rivers mingle into the ocean by abandoning their

names and forms. All of these ideas give a strong message to see non-difference among differences.

In the period of epics and Purāṇas, the same kind of harmony among different religious sects is observed. The harmony between Vaiṣṇavism & Śaivism is worth mentioning here. Though Rāma and Kṛṣṇa are the prime deities of the Rāmāyaṇa and the Mahābhārata, but these incarnations of Lord Viṣṇu have shown utmost reverence to Lord Śiva. In Purāṇic culture the concept of the trinity of Brahmā, Viṣṇu and Śiva per se is the instance of synthesis of religions. Skandapurāṇa says at one place that Viṣṇu and Śiva are equal and there is no difference between them at all. Nārada Purāṇa says that one, who discriminates between Viṣṇu and Śiva, even though one is a Brāhmin, goes to sinister hell. If our Purāṇas propound the unity of Viṣṇu and Śiva, why should a Śaiva have problem with a Vaiṣṇava? The example of Kolottunga first (1070 AD -1120 AD) is exceptional. He was a Śaivak of Cola dynasty, who persecuted Rāmānujācārya, the great Vaiṣṇava scholar

Dharma are inseparably intertwined with each other in India, every religious sect has a strong philosophical foundation. Different religious sects in India are made vibrant by their respective philosophies. To understand harmony among religious sects in India, we must observe the roots of harmony among philosophical schools in India. The various schools of Indian philosophy are divided in two main groups – orthodox system and heterodox system. Orthodox system believes in the authority of the Vedas. This group is comprised of six schools of Indian philosophy, namely, Sāṃkhya, Yoga, Nyāya, Vaiśeṣika, Mīmāṃsā and Buddhism. On the other hand, the heterodox system denies the authority of the Vedas. There are three main sects in this group, namely Buddhist, Jain & Cārvāka.

Dharma, Mahāyāna Buddhism & Sanātānism, Vaiṣṇavism & Śaivism, Vajrayāna Buddhism & Śāktism etc. are a few examples to understand how various philosophies and religions lived together in harmony.

Among these schools of Indian philosophy, we find harmony of all kinds: intra- system harmony, intra-school harmony and inter-system harmony. The prime ground of intra- system harmony in orthodox system is the Vedas only. Since all schools of orthodox system have emanated from the Vedas only, their differences are merely at surface-level. The synthesis of Pūrva Mīmāṃsā & Uttara Mīmāṃsā is aptly done by Śaṅkara and other Vedāntins. To them, karma and bhakti are not contradictory to jñāna. Conceiving them as complimentary to jñāna, they assimilated karma and bhakti in their system as the stepping-stone to jñāna-mārga.

The various branches of the Buddhism philosophy show intra-school harmony because all of them are based on the Upaniṣads, the Gītā and Brahma-sūtra (commonly known as prasthānatrayī). Gauḍapāda, a great Advaita scholar of seventh century says at one place that non-dualism is the absolute reality and dualism, which is the phenomenal reality, is its kind.

Thus, dualism is not absolutely contradictory to non-dualism^{viii}. Later on, Śāṅkara developed the philosophy of Gauḍapādamutatis mutandis and he propounded the concept of three layers of reality viz. absolute reality (pāramārthikasattā) , phenomenal reality (vyāvahārikasattā) and illusory reality (prātibhāsikasattā) and assimilated the dualist ideas also in his philosophy. This is an important instance of synthesis of different philosophical ideas.

Now, a few examples of inter-system harmony can also be observed between Mahāyāna Buddhism & Sanātānism. In the early Buddhism, Sanskrit was not a cherished language because of its association with Sanātānism. But, The Mahāyāna Buddhists adopted Sanskrit as well along with Pali language. This led to the genesis of a new language called Buddhist hybrid Sanskrit. The Mahāyāna Buddhists infused the ideas of love and devotion in their system from Sanātānism. They brought the concept of theism in their school and consecrated Buddha as God, though God was completely denied in the ancient Buddhism. The Sanātāna system recognized Buddha as the ninth incarnation of Viṣṇu in lieu. Following Sanātānism, the Mahāyāna school, too, adopted the doctrine of incarnation (avatāravāda). These are the examples of synthesis and acceptance of ideas among two systems which are generally considered to be diametrically opposed to each other. In the same way, the conducts of Vajrayāna Buddhism are influenced mainly by Śāktism.

Let us see one more example from Sanātānism and Jainism. Most of the philosophical schools conceive that God is immanent in the world and transcendent as well. Jainism propounds the presence of microorganisms like pṛthivīkāyika, jalakāyika, agnikāyika and vāyukāyika everywhere. But, the end result is the same. All of these observe non-violence and keep a compassionate view towards every object in the world – sentient or insentient. In other words, their ontologies may be different, but all of them culminate into the same ethical ideology.

Some other instances of synthesis and acceptance in Indian philosophy can also be observed. The four noble truths (āryasatya) propounded in the Buddhist philosophy are mentioned in Nyāya and Sāṅkhya also by different names viz. heya, heyahetu, hāna and hānopāya.^{ix} One can see the common ground among these rival philosophies. One of the best examples of synthesis is that most of the schools of Indian philosophy have adopted the practice- aspect of Yoga philosophy. Most of the scholars, though having differences on several issues, have adopted the debate technique and Logic of Nyāya philosophy.

It is pertinent to discuss five kinds of religious ideologies in the context of India. These are indifferentism, exclusivism, inclusivism, pluralism and universalism.

Indifferentism: Indifferentism is the view that there is no difference among religions and that they are all more or less the same. Not just illiterate people, but even the educated persons

too give such sweeping remarks that all religions are the same. But, on account of being a product of ignorance, indifferentism cannot bring lasting Dharma.

Exclusivism: Exclusivism is the view that one's own religion alone is true and all the other religions are false. According to this view, there can be only one true revelation and only one true way of salvationxi. Exclusivism has never been the part of Indian tradition. But, nowadays, it is a real menace to the society, which must be discouraged.

Inclusivism: Inclusivism also holds that one's own religion alone is true, but it does not hold that other religions are false, for they are included in one's own religion. Revelation in one's own religion is full, perfect and final, whereas revelation in other religions is partial, imperfect or preliminary. Other religions are only preparation to understand and accept one's own religionxii. This view is very much there in Indian philosophy and tradition. Śaṅkara clearly says in his commentary on Brahmasūtra –“The philosophies like Sāṅkhya are accepted by gentries and is expected to lead to realisation. As these philosophies are propounded by omniscient seers and their arguments are quite strong, people have faith in them. Therefore, we are trying to prove their futility.”xiii This statement of Śaṅkara reflects the philosophy of inclusivism. At one place, Bhāsarvajña, the great Nyāya scholar, says that the Jainas etc. have taken the extra mundane ideas from the Veda itself and they are fooling peoplexiv. Such idea is nothing but inclusivism only. But, it is a chauvinistic ideology, which has limited benefits. We need to broaden our bosom and respect other religions too.

Pluralism: Pluralism is a well-appreciated religious ideology developed mainly in the twentieth century. It holds that all religions are true, revelations are many and there are several paths to salvation. Pluralism conceives that truth has ultimately more than one valid constructions and human thinking can approach those constructions in quite different waysxv. Pluralism is a way of establishing and understanding harmony among religions without ignoring the uniqueness of each. In the context of India, the Vedas subscribe to pluralism in the initial phase, where different deities are worshiped by different seers. The doctrine of *anekāntavāda* in Jainism explicitly advocates the pluralistic approach in every aspect of life. It simply implies that all religions are relatively true. But, the limitation of pluralism is that it recognises the differences among religions primarily. The benefits of pluralism can only be realised, when it culminates into universalism.

Universalism: The perspective of universalism is to seek common grounds among religions. If we meticulously observe Dharma, universalism is the ideological message echoing in every aspect of it. Swami Vivekanand, the great exponent of Buddhism, has often quoted the verse from Śivamahimnastotra of Puṣpadanta in support of Universalist approach of Dharma. It says – “Different paths to realization are enjoined by the three Vedās, by Sāṅkhya, Yoga, Pāśupata doctrine and VaiṣṇavaŚāstras. People follow different paths, straight or meandering, according to their temperament, depending on which they consider best or most appropriate

and reach You alone just as rivers enter the ocean.”^{xvi} Similar ideas have been expressed by MadhusūdanaSarasvatī in his Prasthānabheda. He says that the differences among philosophical sects are mere superficial and created by the non-comprehension of the actual import of the seers. Since the seers were omniscient, all paths to realisation propounded by them are genuine and all of them lead to the Supreme Reality^{xvii}. This is the essence of universalism among different philosophical & religious sects.

In the modern era, there are four approaches to the problem of Dharma viz. political, social, theological and mystical. In the Indian context, these four approaches should be adopted simultaneously to tackle the problem of Dharma in India. These are as follows:

Political Approach: It is the approach of the government in terms of its policies towards religion^{xviii}. This is of paramount importance as all the other approaches might become ineffective without it. The secularism as adopted by the government and the different political groups in India has completely failed and is questionable. We must adopt secularism in true sense and frame such policies, which might promote Dharma among religions.

Social Approach: The policies adopted by the government alone would not suffice. Different social and religious groups should come together to strengthen the inter-religious bond in the society. There should be constant interaction and dialogue among different religious groups and the philosophy of universalism should be promoted. This is meant by social approach.

Theological Approach: Theological approach means reinterpreting or rectifying scriptures in favour of harmony of religions. In our country, śrutis, are kept at a very high pedestal and they cannot be changed. But, they must be reinterpreted in such a way, which promotes harmony among different philosophical and religious sects. In case of smṛtis, both reinterpretation and rectification are possible and should be done.

Mystical Approach: This approach is based on the principle that, apart from the revealed knowledge gained from the scriptures, it is possible to have direct experience of the Ultimate Reality known as mystical knowledge. Every religion has a rich mystical tradition built through many centuries. Though there might be variations regarding the content of experience all mystics agree that direct experience of the Supreme Reality is possible.^{xix} This very agreement can serve as the basis for establishing harmony among religions. This approach must be inculcated in the society. The combination of all of these approaches would indeed bring lasting Dharma among religions.

Hinduism and Buddhism is an umbrella under which different religious sects have flourished. It is often termed as ‘way of life’ because it is not definable. But, there are common grounds through which Hinduism and Buddhism can be understood. There are four foundation pillars on which

Hinduism and Buddhism is constructed. These are: (1) action and rebirth (karma & punarjanma), (2) metempsychosis (saṃsāra), (3) knowledge (jñāna) and (4) bondage and liberation (bandhana & mukti).xx Considering these pillars as the foundations of Hinduism and Buddhism, even Jainism, Buddhism and Sikhism are subsumed under Hinduism and Buddhism. If people are made aware of these universal grounds among these religions, Dharma could be obtained. In fact, the Dharma is like a watermelon, where the differences among philosophical and religious sects are at the surface. But, inside the water-melon, the same ambrosia of Dharma is interspersed and uniting the different sects.

REFERENCES

- ^ Jonathan H. X. Lee; Kathleen M. Nadeau (2011). Encyclopedia Of Asian American Folklore And Folklife. Abc-Clio. P. 504. Isbn 978-0-313-35066-5., Quote: "The Three Other Major Indian Religions – Buddhism, Jainism And Sikhism – Originated In India As An Alternative To Brahmanic/Hindu Philosophy"
- ^ Jan Gonda (1987), Indian Religions: An Overview – Buddhism And Jainism, Encyclopedia Of Religion, 2nd Edition, Volume 7, Editor: Lindsay Jones, Macmillan Reference, Isbn 0-02-865740-3, P. 4428
- ^ K. T. S. Sarao; Jefferey Long (2017). Encyclopedia Of Indian Religions: Buddhism And Jainism. Springer Netherlands. Isbn 978-94-024-0851-5., Quote: "Buddhism And Jainism, Two Religions Which, Together With Hinduism and Buddhism, Constitute The Three Pillars Of Indic Religious Tradition In Its Classical Formulation."
- ^ Siderits, Mark (2019). "Buddha". The Stanford Encyclopedia Of Philosophy. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University. Archived From The Original On 21 May 2022. Retrieved 22 October 2021.
- ^ "Buddhism". (2009). In Encyclopædia Britannica. Retrieved 26 November 2009, From Encyclopædia Britannica Online Library Edition.
- ^ Lopez (2001), P. 239.
- ^ Jump Up To: A B C D "Buddhists". Global Religious Landscape. Pew Research Center. 18 December 2012. Archived From The Original On 8 April 2020. Retrieved 13 March 2015.
- ^ "Christianity 2015: Religious Dive